UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,929	11/24/2003	Michael Warmers	L&L-10224	5237	
- · · · • ·	7590 06/04/2007 ENBERG STEMER LLP	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 2480		ZHU, BO H	ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN		
HOLLYWOOL	D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2616			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Ł	/
Ŀ	,	Ì	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/720,929	WARMERS, MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bo Hui A. Zhu	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute and parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from c, cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	ovember 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under b	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/a	ıre: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/720,929 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code (see line 15, page 3). Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Bisceglia et al. (US 6,275,498).
  - (1) with regard to claims 1, 9 and 11:

The admitted prior art discloses a network system based on the Bluetooth standard comprises a primary terminal; one group of secondary terminals, data packets being interchange d by radio between the primary terminal and the group of terminal with an address of the primary terminal for connection identification (see page 1, lines 20-25; page 3, lines 12-22. The 48-bit address BD\_ADDR of the primary terminal characterizes the network associated with it).

Art Unit: 2616

The admitted prior art however, does not disclose the primary terminal having a second interface with a second address serving a second group of secondary terminals for connecting users of the second group to the primary terminal.

Bisceglia et al. teaches a router having a plurality of MAC interfaces (first and second interfaces) for connecting different group of users to the router (see column 1, lines 19-27). It is inherent that MAC interfaces have unique addresses.

It would have been desirable to have multiple interfaces on an access point (router) because it would allow multiple networks to be manageable by one device, as one interface on an access point is generally related to one network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the concept of a router as taught by Bisceglia et al. in to the system of the admitted prior art in order to allow multiple networks to be manageable by one single access point.

### (2) with regard to claim 2:

The admitted prior art does not disclose a second address therefore does not disclose the difference between the first address and the second address.

However, Bisceglia et al. discloses a router having multiple MAC interfaces. And since the MAC address of an interface is inherently represented by 48 bits and uniquely different, it would be inherent that two interfaces on a router would have two different addresses differed in at least one bit.

It would have been desirable to have multiple interfaces on an access point (router) because it would allow multiple networks to be manageable by one device, as

Application/Control Number: 10/720,929 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

one interface on an access point is generally related to one network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the concept of a router as taught by Bisceglia et al. in to the system of the admitted prior art in order to allow multiple networks to be manageable by one single access point.

## (3) with regard to claims 3 and 10:

The admitted prior art discloses that the address of a primary terminal is represented by a 48 bits BD\_ADDR address (Fig. 1), and it is the LAP field that is always used for identification of data packets in the network (see page 3, line 22 – page 4, line 7). And it is inherent to the Bluetooth standard that the LAP field is the field that used for addressing individual devices. Therefore, the prescribed address field is the LAP field of the BD\_ADDR address.

### (4) with regard to claim 4:

Although neither the admitted prior art nor Bisceglia et al. specifically discloses the at least one bit in which the first address and the second address differ is a least significant bit in the prescribed address field, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to place the at least one bit in the least significant bit position, or any other bit position in the LAP field with the exception of those that are reserved by the Bluetooth standard, since applicant has not discloses that having the at least one bit placed at a least significant bit in the prescribed address field solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the system would perform equally

Art Unit: 2616

well with the at least one bit placing at any bit position in the LAP field with the exception of those that are reserved by the Bluetooth standard.

(5) with regard to claim 5:

The admitted prior art further discloses that one standard primary terminal can serve up to seven secondary terminals (see page 1, lines 22 – 25).

(6) with regard to claim 6:

The admitted prior art further discloses that the data packet contains identification information for indicating a respective group with which the data packet is to be interchanged with (see page 3, line 22 – page 4, line 7).

(7) with regard to claim 7:

The admitted prior art further discloses that the each secondary terminal has a device for stipulating their membership to their corresponding group of secondary terminal (see page 3, line 22 – page 4, line 7. Because all secondary terminals of the same group must transmit data packets with the same identification information, a device in each secondary terminal for processing and managing that identification information would be inherent)

(8) with regard to claim 8:

The admitted prior art disclose a Bluetooth system that has a primary terminal and a group of secondary terminals in which data packets are transmitted by radio, which is qualified as being a digital cordless communication system; and because each terminal in the Bluetooth system is capable of transmitting and receiving packets over a

Application/Control Number: 10/720,929 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

network, each terminal can be considered as computer-controlled device and the secondary terminals can be considered as peripheral devices.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bo Hui A. Zhu whose telephone number is (571)270-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571)272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BZ May 22, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600